

A member of the Board shall receive no compensation from the Foundation for the service as a member of the Board.

While away from home or regular place of business of a member of the Board, the member shall be allowed travel expenses paid by the Foundation, including per diem in lieu of subsistence, at the same rate as a person employed intermittently in the Government service is allowed under section 5703 of title 5, United States Code.

The Board may complete the organization of the Foundation by adopting the constitution and bylaws consistent with the purposes of the Foundation.

#### How To Submit Nominations

Nominations must be received by [insert Date 30 days from the date of publication].

Nominations should be typed and should include the following:

(1) A brief summary of no more than two pages explaining the nominee's suitability to serve on the National Natural Resources Conservation Foundation Board of Trustees including relevant experience, current employer or organizational affiliation.

(2) Resume.

Send nominations to the address listed earlier in this notice.

Paul Johnson,

Chief, *USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service*.

[FR Doc. 96-15185 Filed 6-13-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-16-M

## COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

### Agenda and Notice of Public Meeting of the South Carolina Advisory Committee

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, that a meeting of the South Carolina Advisory Committee to the Commission will convene at 9:00 a.m. and adjourn at 5:00 p.m. on Tuesday, July 2, 1996, at County Square, County Council Chambers, 301 University Ridge, Greenville, South Carolina 29601. The purpose of the meeting is to discuss civil rights progress and problems in the State, discuss followup to the report, *Perceptions of Racial Tensions in South Carolina*; and hear from invited guests on the current status of race relations in Greenville.

Persons desiring additional information, or planning a presentation to the Committee, should contact Committee Chairperson Milton B.

Kimpson, 803-779-2597, or Bobby D. Doctor, Director of the Southern Regional Office, 404-730-2476 (TDD 404-730-2481). Hearing-impaired persons who will attend the meeting and require the services of a sign language interpreter should contact the Regional Office at least five (5) working days before the scheduled date of the meeting.

The meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the Commission.

Dated at Washington, DC, June 3, 1996.  
Carol-Lee Hurley,  
Chief, *Regional Programs Coordination Unit*.  
[FR Doc. 96-15085 Filed 6-13-96; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6335-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Bureau of Export Administration

[Docket Nos. AB3-95; AB2-95]

### Serfilco, Ltd. and Jack H. Berg, Respondents; Final Decision and Order

#### I. Summary

Before me for decision is the appeal of respondents, Serfilco Ltd. (Serfilco) and Jack H. Berg (Berg), from the decision and order of the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ). The ALJ found that Berg and Serfilco, a company wholly owned by Berg, each committed nine violations of § 769.2(d) of the Export Administration Regulations (15 C.F.R. § 769.2(d)). The charges were based on their responding to seven of the eight questions contained in a boycott questionnaire (the "Annex"), and providing two additional items of prohibited information in a cover letter transmitting the answers to the Annex. The ALJ imposed a civil penalty of \$10,000 for each of these violations, for a total of \$180,000. In addition, Serfilco was found to have committed seven violations of § 769.6 of the regulations for failure to report its receipt of seven boycott-related requests. The ALJ imposed a civil penalty of \$4,000 for each of these violations, for a total of \$28,000. The civil penalties totaled \$90,000 against Berg and \$118,000 against Serfilco or \$208,000 against the two. Finally, the ALJ imposed on respondents a one year denial of export privileges to Bahrain, Iraq, Kuwait, Lebanon, Libya, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Syria, United Arab Emirates, and the Republic of Yemen.

I have affirmed the findings of the ALJ that the respondents committed the violations in question. I have, however,

reduced the amounts of some of the civil penalties. I have set the penalties at \$80,000 for Berg and \$38,000 for Serfilco. The total of the civil penalties against the two is now \$118,000. I have also affirmed the periods of denial of export privileges to the countries specified for each respondent.

#### II. Introduction

On August 24, 1995, the Office of Antiboycott Compliance, Bureau of Export Administration, United States Department of Commerce ("agency" herein) issued charging letters to the respondents, Serfilco, Ltd. and Jack H. Berg. The agency charged that Berg, the President of Serfilco, and Serfilco each committed nine violations of § 769.2(d) of the Export Administration Regulations and that Serfilco committed seven violations of § 769.6 of the Export Administration Regulations. (All references to regulations in this decision are to the Export Administration Regulations in 15 CFR) <sup>1</sup> The respondents and the agency jointly stipulated to, or the respondents requested and received, an extension of the due date for the respondents' answer to the charging letters on nine occasions. On March 27, 1995, the respondents answered the charging letters and requested a hearing. The hearing was held on August 23, 1995 in Washington, D.C. Post-hearing briefs and proposed findings and conclusions were filed by the parties on October 12, 1995; replies were filed on November 9, 1995. The Administrative Law Judge issued his Decision and Order on December 5, 1995. The respondents filed their appeal on January 4, 1996. The agency's reply brief was filed on February 16, 1996, pursuant to an extension of time I granted.

#### III. Findings of Fact

When the alleged violations occurred, Serfilco was a corporation located in Glenview, Illinois and incorporated in Illinois. All of the violations occurred during 1988, 1989, and 1990 when Berg resided in the United States. Berg wholly owned Serfilco; he was its president, treasurer, and chief executive officer. Serfilco was a United States person, as defined in § 769.1(d), during the time of the alleged violations. At the time of the alleged violations, Serfilco manufactured and exported commercial

<sup>1</sup> On March 25, 1996, the Bureau issued revised Export Administration Regulations (61 *Fed. Reg.* 12714). While those revisions made significant changes to export licensing procedures, they do not affect the result of this case. References in this Decision and Order are to the part numbers used in the Export Administration Regulations prior to March 25.